The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SCOTT C. HARRIS

Appeal No. 2006-2653 Application No. 10/065,120 MAILED

SEP 1 5 2006

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

## ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

Appellant filed a reply brief and a request for oral hearing on August, 8, 2006 in response to the examiner's answer mailed June 8, 2006. However, there is no indication on the record whether or not the examiner has responded to the reply brief. Section § 1208.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (8<sup>th</sup> ed., Aug. 2001) states:

[A]ppellant may file a reply brief as a matter of right within 2 months from the mailing date of the examiner's answer. . . . The primary must then either: (A) acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief by using form paragraph 12.47 on form PTOL-90; or (B) reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief. See MPEP § 1208.02.

Appeal No. 2006-2653 Application No. 10/065,120

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be remanded to the examiner for:

1) proper response to reply brief; and 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of this appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Dale M. Shaw

Program and Resource Administrator

(571)272-9797

DMS:tdl

Appeal No. 2006-2653 Application No. 10/065,120

Scott C. Harris P.O. Box 927649 San Diego, CA 92192